


## INVESTIGATION OF FLEXOR AND EXTENSOR HALLUCIS LONGUS MUSCLE STRENGTH IN RELATION TO HALLUX VALGUS DEFORMITY SEVERITY

Cansu BULUT<sup>1</sup> , Bahar ANAFOROĞLU<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Ankara Yıldırım Beyazıt University Institute of Health Sciences Department of Physiotherapy and Rehabilitation, Ankara, Türkiye

<sup>2</sup>Professor Doctor, Ankara Yıldırım Beyazıt University Institute of Health Sciences Department of Physiotherapy and Rehabilitation, Ankara, Türkiye

### Keywords

Extensor hallucis longus,  
Flexor hallucis longus,  
Hallux valgus deformity,  
Muscle strength.

### ABSTRACT

**Purpose:** This study aimed to investigate changes in the muscle strength of the flexor hallucis longus (FHL) and extensor hallucis longus (EHL) muscles, and their relationship with deformity severity in individuals with hallux valgus (HV), a condition affecting the big toe.

**Methods:** Thirty-three individuals aged 18 to 65 years ( $44.46 \pm 11.132$ ) with unilateral HV deformity were included. Demographic data was recorded. The severity of HV deformity was evaluated using Manchester Scale. Muscle strength of FHL and EHL muscles was assessed by a hand-held dynamometer, while pain, functionality and activity limitations were assessed using the Foot Function Index (FFI).

**Results:** A statistically significant relationship was found between deformity severity and the muscle strength of FHL and EHL ( $p < 0.001$ ). Furthermore, the muscle strength of the FHL and EHL in the affected foot was significantly associated with the pain, disability score, activity limitation and the total scores of FFI ( $p < 0.001$ ). Muscle strength in the HV-affected foot was significantly lower compared to the unaffected (healthy) foot for both FHL and EHL ( $p < 0.001$ ).

**Conclusion:** An increase in deformity severity was associated with a significant reduction in FHL and EHL muscle strength. This reduction correlated with increased pain, functional limitation, decreased and participation in daily living activities. The observed decline in function and increase in pain may be attributed to reduced muscle strength, which leads to increased mechanical load on the joints.

### INTRODUCTION

Hallux valgus (HV) is a common forefoot deformity characterized by a lateral deviation and internal rotation of the big toe (hallux) toward the second toe at the first metatarsophalangeal (MTP) joint. This deformity is accompanied by medial displacement of the first metatarsal bone (1-3).

Although its prevalence varies across age groups and populations, HV affects approximately 23% of individuals aged 18 to 65 years, and 35.7% of those aged 65 years and older (4). Hallux valgus is typically associated with progressive pain in the big toe and may result in visible strüktürel deformities. If left untreated, it can reduce mobility, decrease physical

activity levels, and impair the performance of daily activities (5,6). Additionally, HV has been linked to diminished health-related quality of life (7,8).

Both intrinsic and extrinsic factors are believed to contribute to the development of HV (9). Genetic predisposition, foot morphology, and musculoskeletal imbalances are among the primary intrinsic factors (10,11). Biomechanical abnormalities such as pes planus, excessive pronation, and ligamentous laxity also play a significant role in the onset and progression of HV (12-14). Additionally, prolonged use of narrow and high-heeled shoes can increase pressure on the hallux and accelerate deformity progression (15). The higher prevalence HV among women is often attributed to both biomechanical differences and footwear choices (16). Age-related loss of connective tissue elasticity with may may further contribute the progression of the deformity (17).

Muscle imbalance is considered one of the intrinsic factors contributing to the development of HV (18). Inadequate coordination among the muscle groups in the foot and ankle impairs the big toe's ability to maintain its normal anatomical position. Particularly, weakness or dysfunction of the abductor hallucis (AbH) muscle, which abducts the big toe, can lead to abnormal load distribution across the joints and exacerbate the deformity (19,20). Additionally, flexor hallucis longus (FHL) and extensor hallucis longus (EHL) muscles have been identified as key contributors to HV progression (21). The directional pull of these muscles may gradually alter joint alignment and exacerbate the deformity (22). Functional impairments in these muscles can further display the toe from its natural axis of motion and intensify existing muscle imbalance (23,24).

This study focuses on the FHL and EHL muscles due to their significant role in the development and progression of HV deformity. The biomechanical effects of these muscles on the hallux positioning warrants further exploration, particularly in relation to the severity of deformity. While existing literature suggests a contributory role of FHL and EHL muscles in increasing the deformity severity (25,26), empirical data directly linking muscle strength to deformity degree remain limited. Current evidence does not provide a definitive understanding of this relationship, highlighting the need for more comprehensive investigation. Therefore, the aim of this study is to assess the changes in FHL and EHL muscle strength in individuals with HV deformity, and to examine the relationship between deformity severity and muscle strength. The aim was to determine the differences between groups with and without HV deformity and to identify how these differences manifest according to the severity of HV.

## **METHODS**

### **Purpose and Type of Research**

This study aimed to investigate changes in the muscle strength of the FHL and EHL muscles, and their relationship with deformity severity in individuals with HV, a condition affecting the big toe. This study was designed as a descriptive-correlational investigation involving individuals with HV deformity.

### **Population and Sample of the Study**

Participants were selected using a random sampling method, comprising 19 males and 14 females. To ensure the reliability of the study results, a preliminary power analysis was conducted using G\*Power 3.1 software, which indicated that, for an effect size of  $r \geq 0.5$ , 33 participants were required. Subsequently, 33 participants were included in the study to achieve the desired statistical power.

### **Participants:**

The study included individuals aged 18–65 years with unilateral HV deformity. Inclusion criteria were:

- Presence of unilateral HV deformity graded as B or C according to the Manchester Scale
- Having unilateral HV deformity,
- Age between 18 and 65 years
- No cognitive and cooperation impairments that could interfere with participation
- No orthopedic conditions or rheumatoid arthritis affecting the lower extremities
- No history of lower extremity surgery
- Voluntary consent to participate in the study.

Exclusion criteria included:

- Diagnosed diabetic neuropathy
- History of lower extremity trauma within the past 6 months
- Previous lower extremity surgery
- Current use of orthoses or assistive devices for the lower extremities
- Ongoing or prior treatment for HV.

## **Ethical Aspects of the Research**

Ethical approval for the study was obtained from the Ankara Yıldırım Beyazıt University Health Sciences Ethics Committee (23.11.2023 – Decision No: 09-042). The study was conducted between June 1, 2024, and May 8, 2025.

## **Data Collection and Analysis**

All participants were informed about the purpose of the study, evaluation procedures, expectations, and the forms used. They were assured of the confidentiality of their data, and they signed an "Informed Consent Form" indicating their voluntary participation in the study.

The evaluation methods applied to all participants included in the study are listed below:

- Sociodemographic Information
- Manchester Scale
- Muscle Strength Assessment
- Foot Function Index (FFI)

### **Sociodemographic Information:**

Demographic data including age (years), height (cm), body weight (kg), sex, education level, and occupation were recorded. In addition history of any surgeries was documented. The side affected by HV (right or left) was noted. Body mass index (BMI) values ( $\text{kg/m}^2$ ) were calculated by dividing body weight (kg) by the square of height ( $\text{m}^2$ ).

### **Manchester Scale:**

The Manchester Scale, developed by Garrow et al. (27) was used to assess the severity of HV through visual observation. The scale comprises four standardized photographic categories. The four levels on the scale are defined as no deformity with a normal appearance (A), mild (B), moderate (C), and severe (D) HV (27).

Grade A indicates a normal structural alignment of the first phalanx. In Grade B, there is slight medial deviation of the first metatarsal bone and a slight lateral displacement of the first phalanx. At the moderate level (Grade C), the medial translation of the first metatarsal bone increases, leading to a more prominent bony protrusion (bunion) at its distal end, and the first phalanx. In the severe level (Grade D), the deformity at the distal end of the first metatarsal bone becomes highly pronounced, and the first phalanx is completely displaced under the second phalanx (27).

In this study, only individuals classified as Grade B or C according to the Manchester Scale were included. This scale enables visual assessment of foot structure while the individual is in a standing position. Since it does not require radiographic imaging, it allows for a safe; non-invasive assessment without exposure to harmful radiation. The validity and reliability of the scale were established by Menz and Munteanu (28). The Turkish validity and reliability study was conducted by Talu et al. (29).

### **Muscle Strength Assessment:**

The muscle strength of the FHL and EHL muscles was evaluated using a handheld dynamometer. The Commander Muscle Testing device (JTECH Medical, USA), a scientifically validated tool, was used for the measurements. The device objectively measures force in both Newtons (N) and kilograms (kg), and the maximum force value on its screen. Its interchangeable heads allow for measurements across different anatomical regions (30).

#### **EHL Muscle Strength Assessment:**

Participants were positioned supine with the hip and knee in extension, and the talocrural joint (TCJ) and the hallux in maximum plantar flexion. The physiotherapist stood on the side being assessed, stabilized the foot proximally at the interphalangeal (IP) joint, and placed the dynamometer dorsally on the foot, aligned with the IP joint. Participants were instructed to apply force against the device for 3–5 seconds. Each participant underwent three trials, with the highest value recorded. A 30-second rest period was given between repetitions to reduce muscle fatigue (31). Bilateral measurements were performed.

#### **FHL Muscle Strength Assessment:**

For FHL evaluation, participants remained supine position, with the hip and knee semi-flexed to prevent compensatory movements. The ankle was stabilized and held in maximum plantar flexion to minimize co-contraction of plantar flexor muscles. Participants were again instructed to exert force against the dynamometer for 3–5 seconds. Three trials were conducted, and the highest value was recorded. A 30-second rest interval was provided between measurements to reduce fatigue (31). The measurements were taken bilaterally.

### **Foot Function Index (FFI):**

The Foot Function Index (FFI) is widely used self-reported tool designed to assess the effects of foot pathologies on pain, functional disability, and activity limitation (8).

The index consists of three main subscales: pain, disability, and activity limitation, comprising a total of 23 items. The pain subscale includes nine items that evaluate pain intensity during various activities. The disability subscale, also with nine items, aims to measure the level of functional difficulties encountered in daily tasks. The activity limitation subscale consists of five items assessing the degree of limitation in the individual's activities due to foot problems (32).

Participants rates each item separately for the right and left foot on a scale from 0 (no pain/difficulty) to 10 (unbearable pain or difficulty preventing the activity). Ratings are based on symptoms experienced in the past week (33).

The score for each subscale is calculated by dividing the sum of the relevant items by the number of items and then multiplying by 100. The overall total score is obtained by summing all item scores, dividing by the total number of items, and multiplying by 100. Higher scores indicate more severe issues functional limitations (32).

The FFI was originally validated by Budiman et al. (34). It has since been widely used in research on orthotic treatments and foot/ankle disorders across different age groups (35,36). The Turkish validity and reliability study was conducted by Külünkoğlu et al. (37).

In our study, scoring was performed separately for each foot. Items were scored as zero for the foot without deformity. For the foot with deformity, the scores given were summed to calculate subscale and total scores.

### **Statistical Analysis**

Data analysis was conducted by using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) Version 30.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) software. Following data collection and entry into the SPSS, frequency analysis were performed for demographic variables. Descriptive statistics including minimum and maximum values, arithmetic mean, and standard deviation were used to summarize continuous variables, while the categorical data were expressed as frequencies and percentages.

Shapiro-Wilk test was applied to assess the normality of data distribution. Since the sample size was less than 50, the Shapiro-Wilk test results were primarily considered in data interpretation (38).

For between-groups comparisons of continuous variables, the Mann-Whitney U test was applied to non-normally distributed data. The Independent Samples t-test was used for normally distributed data. For within-group comparisons, the Wilcoxon Signed-Rank test was employed for non-normally distributed data, and the Paired Samples t-test was used for normally

distributed data. The FHL strength of healthy and HV-affected feet, as well as the FHL and EHL muscle strength of mild and moderate HV feet, exhibited a normal distribution. The EHL muscle strength data (healthy and HV-affected feet) and the FFI pain, disability, activity limitation, and total score data (mild and moderate HV feet) did not exhibit a normal distribution. For normally distributed data, the median and IQR were reported, whereas for non-normally distributed data, the mean and standard deviation were presented.

Correlations between variables assessed using the Pearson Correlation coefficient for normally distributed data, and the Spearman Correlation coefficient for non-normally distributed data. Correlation strength was interpreted as follows:  $r = 0.10-0.30$  indicated a weak relationship,  $r = 0.30-0.50$  a moderate relationship,  $r = 0.50-0.70$  a strong relationship, and  $r = 0.70-0.90$  a very strong relationship. Statistical significance was accepted at  $p \leq 0.05$ .

## RESULTS

The demographic characteristics of the participants in the study are presented in Table 1.

**Table 1.** Demographic Characteristics of the Participants

Variable (n=33)	Minimum (Min)	Maximum (Max)	Mean (X) $\pm$ Standard Deviation (SD)
Age (years)	23	64	44.36 $\pm$ 11.132
Height (cm)	148	183	167.12 $\pm$ 9.410
Body Weight (kg)	49	105	76.21 $\pm$ 15.604
Body Mass Index (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	18.20	39.10	27.3152 $\pm$ 5.46958

cm: centimeters, kg: kilograms, m: meters, max: maximum, min: minimum, m<sup>2</sup>: square meter, n: number of participants, SD: standard deviation, X: mean

The 33 individuals with HV deformity included in the study had a mean age of  $44.36 \pm 11.13$  years (range: 23-64 years). The participants' height ranged from 148 cm to 183 cm, with a mean of  $167.1 \pm 9.41$  cm. Body weight ranged from 49 kg to 105 kg, with a mean of  $76.21 \pm 15.60$  kg. The body mass index (BMI) ranged from a minimum of 18.20 kg/m<sup>2</sup> to a maximum of 39.10 kg/m<sup>2</sup>, with a mean of  $27.32 \pm 5.47$  kg/m<sup>2</sup> (Table 1). A total of 19 males (58%) and 14 females (42%) were included in the study, with all individuals having unilateral deformity. The education levels and occupational distributions of the participants are presented in Table 2.

**Table 2.** Education Levels and Occupational Distribution of Participants

		Number (n)	Percentage (%)
<b>Education Level</b>	<b>Primary School Graduate</b>	7	21,2
	<b>Secondary School Graduate</b>	2	6,1
	<b>High School Graduate</b>	14	42,4
	<b>University Graduate</b>	10	30,3
<b>Occupation</b>	<b>Non-commissioned Officer</b>	1	3,0
	<b>Government Employee</b>	6	18,2
	<b>Pharmacy Technician</b>	1	3,0
	<b>Retired</b>	2	6,1
	<b>Tradesmen</b>	11	33,3
	<b>Freelancer</b>	3	9,1
	<b>Security Guard</b>	1	3,0
	<b>Student</b>	1	3,0
	<b>Unemployed</b>	7	21,2

n: number, %: percentage

Seven participants (21%) were primary school graduates, two (6%) were secondary school graduates, fourteen (43%) were high school graduates, and ten (30%) were university graduates (Table 2).

In terms of occupation, seven participants (21%) were unemployed, six (18%) were government employees, eleven (33%) were tradesmen, three (9%) were freelancers, two (6%) were retired, one (3%) was a non-commissioned officer, one (3%) was a pharmacy technician, one (3%) was a security guard, and one (3%) was a student (Table 2). The distribution of the presence of HV and the severity of the deformity in the right and left feet of the participants is presented in Table 3.

**Table 3.** Distribution of Individuals According to the Presence and Severity of Hallux Valgus in the Right and Left Foot

		Number (n)	Percentage (%)
<b>Distribution by Side of HV Involvement</b>	<b>Right Foot</b>	20	60,6
	<b>Left Foot</b>	13	39,4
<b>Distribution by Severity of HV</b>	<b>Foot with Mild HV</b>	17	51,5
	<b>Foot with Moderate HV</b>	16	48,5

n: number, %: percentage

None of the participants had undergone surgery. When comparing the presence of HV between the right and left foot, 20 participants (61%) had HV in the right foot, while 13 participants (39%) had HV in the left foot (Table 3). Regarding deformity severity, 17



individuals (51.5%) had mild HV, whereas 16 individuals (48.5%) had moderate HV (Table 3). The difference between the FHL muscle strength of the healthy and HV-affected feet in the participants is presented in Table 4.

**Table 4.** Comparison of Flexor Hallucis Longus Muscle Strength Between Healthy and HV-Affected Feet

		Mean (X)	Standard Deviation (SD)	P-value
<b>FHL</b>	<b>Healthy Foot Muscle Strength (n=33)</b>	29,4424	1,24575	<b>&lt;0,001*</b>
	<b>HV-Affected Foot Muscle Strength (n=33)</b>	25,0606	1,55522	

\*Paired Samples t Test, FHL: Flexor hallucis longus, HV: halluks valgus, n: number, SD: standard deviation, X: mean

When the FHL muscle strength of all participants was compared between the healthy and HV-affected feet, a statistically significant difference was found between the healthy and HV-affected feet ( $p < 0.001$ ) (Table 4). The difference between the EHL muscle strength of the healthy and HV-affected feet in the participants is presented in Table 5.

**Table 5.** Comparison of Extensor Hallucis Longus Muscle Strength Between Healthy and HV-Affected Feet

		Median (Med)	Interquartile Range (IQR)	P-value
<b>EHL</b>	<b>Healthy Foot Muscle Strength (n=33)</b>	21,200	20,5-22,0	<b>&lt;0,001*</b>
	<b>HV-Affected Foot Muscle Strength (n=33)</b>	16,200	15,0-17,5	

\*Wilcoxon Signed-Rank Test, EHL: Extensor Hallucis Longus, HV: Hallux Valgus, Med: Median, n: number, IQR: Interquartile Range

When comparing the EHL muscle strength between the healthy and HV-affected feet, a statistically significant difference was observed ( $p < 0.001$ ) (Table 5). The difference between the severity of deformity and the strength of the FHL and EHL muscles in the participants is presented in Table 6.

**Table 6.** Comparison of Flexor Hallucis Longus and Extensor Hallucis Longus Muscle Strength According to Deformity Severity

		Mean (X)	Standart Deviation (SD)	P-value
<b>FHL Muscle Strength of HV Foot</b>	<b>Mild HV Foot (n=17)</b>	26,282	1,5287	<b>&lt;0,001*</b>
	<b>Moderate HV Foot (n=16)</b>	23,763	1,8745	
<b>EHL Muscle Strength of HV Foot</b>	<b>Mild HV Foot (n=17)</b>	17,176	1,2312	<b>&lt;0,001*</b>
	<b>Moderate HV Foot (n=16)</b>	14,375	1,5476	

\*Independent Samples T-test, EHL: ekstensor hallucis longus, FHL: flexor hallucis longus, HV: hallux valgus, n: number of participants, SD: standart deviation; X: mean

When comparing individuals with mild and moderate severity of deformity in terms of FHL muscle strength, a statistically significant difference was found between the groups ( $p < 0.001$ ) (Table 6). Similarly, when comparing individuals based on the severity of deformity in terms of EHL muscle strength, a statistically significant difference was also observed between the groups ( $p < 0.001$ ). The FHL and EHL muscle strengths were found to be statistically higher in individuals with mildly affected HV feet (Table 6). The comparison of FFI scores according to deformity severity is presented in Table 7.

**Table 7.** Comparison of FFI Scores According to Hallux Valgus Severity

	Mild HV (n=17)			Moderate HV (n=16)			P-value
	Median (Med)	Minimum (Min)	Maksimum (Max)	Median (Med)	Minimum (Min)	Maksimum (Max)	
<b>FFI Pain Score</b>	15,50	6,60	22,20	29,40	18,80	40,00	<b>&lt;0,001*</b>
<b>FFI Disability Score</b>	20,00	10,00	23,30	33,10	12,20	47,70	<b>&lt;0,001*</b>
<b>FFI Activity Limitation Score</b>	6,00	0,00	12,00	17,00	10,00	30,00	<b>&lt;0,001*</b>
<b>FFI Total Score</b>	44,40	16,60	50,80	79,15	54,40	93,40	<b>&lt;0,001*</b>

\*Mann Whitney U Test, FFI: Foot Function Index, HV: Hallux valgus, med: median, min: minimum, max: maksimum, n: number of participants,

When the participants were compared according to deformity severity, a statistically significant difference was found in the FFI pain, disability and, activity limitation scores, as well as in the total FFI score ( $p < 0.001$ ) (Table 7). The relationship between FHL and EHL muscle strength of the HV-affected foot and FFI scores is presented in Table 8.

**Table 8.** The Relationship Between Flexor Hallucis Longus and Extensor Hallucis Longus Muscle Strength and FFI Scores in the HV-Affected Foot

		<b>Spearman's Correlation Coefficient</b>	<b>P-value</b>
<b>FHL Strength in HV Foot</b>	<b>FFI Pain Score</b>	-0,751	<b>&lt;0,001*</b>
	<b>FFI Disability Score</b>	-0,635	<b>&lt;0,001*</b>
	<b>FFI Activity Limitation Score</b>	-0,697	<b>&lt;0,001*</b>
	<b>FFI Total Score</b>	-0,784	<b>&lt;0,001*</b>
<b>EHL Strength in HV Foot</b>	<b>FFI Pain Score</b>	-0,786	<b>&lt;0,001*</b>
	<b>FFI Disability Score</b>	-0,608	<b>&lt;0,001*</b>
	<b>FFI Activity Limitation Score</b>	-0,722	<b>&lt;0,001*</b>
	<b>FFI Total Score</b>	-0,797	<b>&lt;0,001*</b>

\*Spearman's Correlation Test, FFI: Foot Function Index, EHL: extensor hallucis longus, FHL: flexor hallucis longus, HV: hallux valgus

When participants were compared in terms of FHL muscle strength and FFI scores, a strong negative significant correlation was found between HV-affected foot muscle strength and FFI pain score ( $r = -0.751$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ), FFI disability score ( $r = -0.635$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ), FFI activity limitation score ( $r = -0.697$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ), and total FFI score ( $r = -0.784$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ) (Table 8).

Similarly, a strong negative significant correlation was found between HV-affected foot EHL muscle strength and FFI pain score ( $r = -0.786$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ), FFI disability score ( $r = -0.608$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ), FFI activity limitation score ( $r = -0.722$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ), and total FFI score ( $r = -0.797$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ) (Table 8).

## DISCUSSION

In our study, which aimed to examine changes in FHL and EHL muscle strength according to the severity of deformity in individuals with HV, a significant decrease in both FHL and EHL muscle strength was associated with the increase in severity of the HV. When comparing the muscle strength of the HV- affected foot with the contralateral healthy foot, both FHL and EHL muscle strengths were significantly lower in the affected foot. Additionally a significant correlation was found between deformity severity and FFI scores.

Regarding the prevalence of HV, a previous study has reported rates of 23% among adults aged 18-65 and 35.7% among individuals over 65 years old (4). It is defined as a chronic deformity affecting 12% to 70% of the general population (39). Consistent with the literature, the ages of the participants in our study ranged from 23 to 64 years.

Hallux valgus affects 30-58% of women (39,40) and epidemiological studies have consistently reported a higher prevalence in females (41). In a study by Nix et al. (42), the incidence of HV was reported to be 2.3 times higher in women than in men. Similarly, Nguyen et al. (41) found a prevalence of 58% in women and 25% in men, while Dufour et al. (43) reported HV in 44% of elderly female participants. A study examining the prevalence and risk factors of HV in the Japanese population identified female gender as a significant risk factor (44).

Contrary to these findings, our study included more men than women (19 males (58%) and 14 females (42%)), which may explain unexpected gender distribution. A study investigating the causes of HV deformity in men concluded that the deformity is mostly hereditary, begins at an early age, and is frequently inherited from the mother (45). Although genetic transmission may be a plausible explanation for the higher proportion male participants with HV in our study, the absence of family history data represents a limitation.

Prolonged standing has been reported to negatively affect the progression of HV deformity and potentially increasing its severity (2,46,47). In our study, most participants were employed in occupations requiring extended periods of standing, which may have contributed to the development or exacerbation of their deformity.

In a Japanese population study, Okuda et al. (48) reported that 29.7% of individuals had HV symptoms in at least one foot. Another study found that the left foot was more frequently affected (49). Contrary to this study, our results showed a higher prevalence in the right foot (60.6%) compared to the left foot (39.4%). This discrepancy may be related to the predominance of right foot dominance; however, as foot dominance was not assessed in our study, this remains a limitation.

From a pathomechanical perspective, several theories emphasize abnormal alignment of the metatarsocuneiform joint and the imbalances in the periarticular soft tissues as key factors in HV development (50,51,52). The abductor hallucis (AbH) and adductor hallucis (AdH) muscles, which help maintain first metatarsal alignment, are particularly implicated. In HV, AbH weakness and the unopposed action of the AdH contribute to lateral deviation of the hallux (19). Many previous studies have focused primarily on these two muscles.

However, the FHL and EHL muscles-central to the present study- also play an important role in HV pathogenesis. These muscles have been implicated in both the development and recurrence of HV. Their tendon pull vectors deviate laterally, drawing the proximal end of the distal phalanx toward the valgus direction (22). The change in the force direction of the FHL muscle generates an additional rotational torque on the hallux, promoting pronation of the first metatarsal and progression of the deformity. FHL contraction can also increase sesamoid subluxation. While these muscles typically provide stabilization during axial loading, in HV they contribute deforming forces that perpetuate the pathology (23).

Despite their potential significance, the functional characteristics of the FHL and EHL muscles in HV remain underexplored. In a three-dimensional magnetic resonance imaging study by Sanders et al. (53), it was demonstrated that lateral displacement of the FHL muscle plays a role in the pathogenesis of HV. However, there is insufficient information in the literature regarding changes in the muscle strength of these muscles as a result of HV deformity. Existing studies generally focus on anatomical displacements and structural impairments, while research examining changes in muscle strength levels remains limited. This highlights the necessity evaluate the functional characteristics of these muscle groups in the deformity process. Our study aims to shed light on these unexplored areas in the literature.

In our study, when comparing the FHL and EHL muscle strength between the healthy foot and the HV-affected foot, a statistically significant difference was found. The muscle strength in the HV foot was significantly lower compared to the healthy foot. Literature on muscle ultrasound studies indicates that HV deformity results in a reduction in muscle cross-sectional area and changes in pull angles due to positional shifts (53,54). Furthermore, we observe that the FHL and EHL muscle strength was lower in feet with moderate deformity compared to those with mild deformity. We think this is due to changes in the muscle length-tension relationship and load transmission, ultimately resulting in decreased muscle activation.

The Manchester Scale is a clinical method that evaluates HV deformity through images representing four different severity levels (27). It offers advantages such as requiring minimal clinical expertise, providing a standardized framework for prospective monitoring of deformity progression, and being non-invasive (27,55). Additionally, its validity and reliability have been established (28,29). Studies examining the relationship between the Manchester Scale and radiological measurements have reported a significant correlation between the Hallux Valgus Angle (HVA) and Intermetatarsal Angle (IMA) (28,56). Another study on individuals with hallux valgus assessed the relationship between the Manchester Scale and plantar pressure distribution, concluding that this scale is an effective tool for reflecting deformity severity and

pressure levels in painful areas. Moreover, that study reported that the Manchester Scale correlates with both HVA and IMA (57). For these reasons, the Manchester Scale was used to evaluate deformity severity in our study. Participants were classified as 17 with mild deformity and 16 with moderate deformity. Many studies investigating hallux valgus severity have used the Manchester Scale for severity assessment (57,58). The test reliability of the Manchester Scale and its high agreement with clinical evaluation have been demonstrated (58).

Hallux valgus is associated not only with physical impairments in the musculoskeletal system but also with pain, functional limitations, and the impact on an individual's quality of life (6,59-65).

In a study comparing healthy individuals and those with HV, it was determined that HV is associated with foot pain and levels of functional impairment (42). In a study conducted on women with hallux valgus deformity, a statistically significant relationship was found between pain levels and foot function. The results showed that as the severity of the deformity increased, pain complaints also increased, which negatively affected foot-specific functional status (5). Another study involving individuals with hallux valgus demonstrated that as the severity of the deformity increased, there was an increase in pain intensity (65).

In our study, when comparing the relationship between deformity severity and Foot Function Index (FFI) scores, a significant difference was observed, consistent with the existing literature. As the severity of the deformity increased, differences were noted in the FFI pain score, FFI disability score, FFI activity limitation score, and the total FFI score. These results align with findings from other studies in the literature. The progression of the deformity leads to an increase in pain, resulting in physical functional losses and consequently activity limitations in daily life.

When examining the relationship between FHL muscle strength and FFI scores in HV-affected feet, a significant correlation was found. As muscle strength decreased, an increase in FFI scores was observed. Similar results were found for the EHL muscle as well. Loss of strength in the lower extremity can lead to decreased joint stability, which may result in pain symptoms (66). Along with the increase in pain severity due to muscle weakness, physical functional losses are also observed (67). Considering the results of our study, the increase in FFI scores associated with muscle weakness reflects an increase in pain and functional limitations, which aligns with the existing literature.

## Limitations of the Study

Although genetic transmission is an important factor in male individuals, not investigating family history constitutes a limitation of our study. Additionally, pes planus is considered one of the most significant risk factors for hallux valgus; however, no evaluation regarding pes planus was conducted in our study, which can be viewed as a limitation. Additionally, the absence of an assessment for hypermobility, another recognized risk factor, may also be regarded as a study limitation. Besides the muscle strength assessment performed on the FHL and EHL muscles, not examining muscle activation or conducting radiological evaluations can also be considered limitations. The lack of gender standardization in the sample group and the absence of related study findings can be considered a limitation of the study.

## CONCLUSION

A significant decrease in the muscle strength of the FHL and EHL muscles was observed with increasing hallux valgus deformity severity, with feet exhibiting moderate deformity showing significantly lower muscle strength than those with mild deformity. Correspondingly, a significant difference was found between deformity severity and FFI scores, with higher deformity severity associated with increased pain, greater disability, and more pronounced limitations in daily activities. Furthermore, significant correlations were observed between the FHL and EHL muscle strength of the affected foot and FFI scores, indicating that reductions in muscle strength are linked to increased pain, disability, and activity limitations. These findings highlight the importance of considering changes in the FHL and EHL muscles when designing rehabilitation programs, suggesting that targeted strategies and exercise interventions aimed at strengthening these muscles may help alleviate functional limitations associated with hallux valgus. Given that this study is the first of its kind on this topic, further research is warranted to confirm and generalize these results.

**Ethics Committee Approval:** Ethical approval for the study was obtained from the Ankara Yıldırım Beyazıt University Health Sciences Ethics Committee (23.11.2023 – Decision No: 09-042).

**Informed Consent:** They were assured of the confidentiality of their data, and they signed an "Informed Consent Form" indicating their voluntary participation in the study.

**Peer-review:** None

**Author Contributions:** Cansu Bulut; Conceptualization, Investigation, Data Curation, Writing-Original Draft, Visualization, Resources, Methodology. Bahar Anaforoğlu; Supervision, Conceptualization, Writing- Review & Editing

**Conflict of Interest:** : The author(s) state that there are no potential conflicts of interest concerning the research, writing, and/or publication of this article.

**Financial Disclosure:** None

**Acknowledgements:** None

**Note:** None

## REFERENCES

1. Bock P, Kristen K-H, Kröner A, Engel A. Hallux valgus and cartilage degeneration in the first metatarsophalangeal joint. *J Bone Joint Surg Br.* 2004;86(5):669-73.
2. Coughlin MJ, Jones CP. Hallux valgus and first ray mobility: A prospective study. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 2007;89(9):1887-98.
3. Easley ME, Trnka HJ. Current concepts review: Hallux valgus part 1: Pathomechanics, clinical assessment, and nonoperative management. *Foot Ankle Int.* 2007;28(5):454-60.
4. Nix S, Smith M, Vicenzino B. Prevalence of hallux valgus in the general population: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord.* 2010;11:21.
5. Talu B, Koca TT, Bayramlar K, Karabıçak GÖ. Evaluation of the pain and foot functions in women with hallux valgus deformities. *J Clin Exp Investig.* 2016;7(2):144-9.
6. Yamamoto Y, Yamaguchi S, Muramatsu Y, Terakado A, Sasho T, Akagi R, Endo J, Sato Y, Takahashi K. Quality of life in patients with untreated and symptomatic hallux valgus. *Foot Ankle Int.* 2016;37(10):1-7.
7. Abhishek A, Roddy E, Zhang W, Doherty M. Are hallux valgus and big toe pain associated with impaired quality of life? A cross-sectional study. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage.* 2010;18(8):1025-30.
8. Saro C, Jensen I, Lindgren U, Felländer-Tsai L. Quality-of-life outcome after hallux valgus surgery. *Qual Life Res.* 2007;16(5):731-8.
9. Molloy A, Widnall J. Scarf osteotomy. *Foot Ankle Clin.* 2014;19(4).
10. Beeson P, Phillips C, Corr S, Ribbans WJ. Hallux rigidus: A cross sectional study to evaluate clinical parameters. *Foot.* 2009;19(2):60-3.
11. Hannan MT, Menz HB, Jordan JM, Cupples LA, Cheng C-H, Hsu YH. Hallux valgus and lesser toe deformities are highly heritable in adult men and women: The Framingham Foot Study. *Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken).* 2013;65(9):1515-21.
12. Atbaşı Z, Erdem Y, Kose O, Demiralp B, Ilkbahar S, Tekin HO. Relationship between hallux valgus and pes planus: Real or fiction? *J Foot Ankle Surg.* 2019;58(6):1-5.
13. Choi Y-H, Lee S-W, Ahn JH, Kim GJ, Kang MH, Kim Y-C. Hallux valgus and pes planus: Correlation analysis using deep learning-assisted radiographic angle measurements. *Foot Ankle Surg.* 2025;31(2):170-6.
14. Suh DH, Kim HJ, Park JH, Park YH, Koo BM, Choi GW. Relationship between hallux valgus and pes planus in adult patients. *J Foot Ankle Surg.* 2020;59(6):1225-9.
15. Menz HB, Roddy E, Marshall M, Thomas MJ, Rathod T, Peat GM, Croft PR. Epidemiology of shoe-wearing patterns over time in older women: Associations with foot pain and hallux valgus. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci.* 2016;00(00):1-7.
16. Hart ES, deAsla RJ, Grottkau BE. Current concepts in the treatment of hallux valgus. *Orthop Nurs.* 2008;27(5):274-80.
17. Cronin S, Conti M, Williams N, Ellis SJ. Relationship between demographic and radiographic characteristics and second ray pathology in hallux valgus patients. *Foot Ankle Orthop.* 2020;5(1):1-8.
18. Moulodi N, Azadinia F, Ebrahimi-Takamjani I, Atlasi R, Jalali M, Kamali M. The functional capacity and morphological characteristics of the intrinsic foot muscles in subjects with Hallux Valgus deformity: A systematic review. *Foot (Edinb).* 2020;45:101706.
19. Arinci Incel N, Genç H, Erdem HR, Yorgancıoğlu ZR. Muscle imbalance in hallux valgus: An electromyographic study. *Am J Phys Med Rehabil.* 2003;82(5):345-9.
20. Mickle KJ, Munro BJ, Lord SR, Menz HB, Steele JR. Toe weakness and deformity increase the risk of falls in older people. *Clin Biomech (Bristol, Avon).* 2009;24(9):787-91.



21. Al-saggaf S. Variations in the insertion of the extensor hallucis longus muscle. *Foot Ankle Int.* 2003;24(8):616-8.
22. Nyska M. Principles of first metatarsal osteotomies. *Foot Ankle Clin.* 2001;6(3):399-408.
23. Dayton P, Feilmeier M, Kauwe M, Hirschi J. Relationship of frontal plane rotation of first metatarsal to proximal articular set angle and hallux alignment in patients undergoing tarsometatarsal arthrodesis for hallux abducto valgus: A case series and critical review of the literature. *J Foot Ankle Surg.* 2013;52(3):348-54.
24. Gomez Galvan M, Constantino JA, Bernaldez MJ, Quiles M. Hallux pronation in hallux valgus: Experimental and radiographic study. *J Foot Ankle Surg.* 2019;58(5):877-82.
25. Ortiz CA, Butteri AI, Albornoz MA, Meneses MV, Aguirre MA, Munita JM, ... Pellegrini MJ. Anatomy of flexor hallucis longus and its relationship with hallux valgus: A cadaveric study. *Foot Ankle Orthop.* 2022 Nov 17.
26. Van Elst C, Van Riet A, Vandeputte G. Tendon balancing in hallux valgus surgery. *Acta Orthop Belg.* 2016;82(3):627-31.
27. Garrow AP, Papageorgiou A, Silman AJ, Thomas E, Jayson MI, Macfarlane GJ. The grading of hallux valgus: The Manchester scale. *J Am Podiatr Med Assoc.* 2001;91(2):74-8.
28. Menz HB, Munteanu SE. Radiographic validation of the Manchester scale for the classification of hallux valgus deformity. *Rheumatology (Oxford).* 2005;44(6):1061-6.
29. Talu B, Bayramlar K, Bek N, Yakut Y. Validity and reliability of the Turkish version of the Manchester-Oxford Foot Questionnaire for hallux valgus deformity evaluation. *Acta Orthop Traumatol Turc.* 2016;50(2):207-13.
30. Livingston T, Bernardi D, Carroll M. Commander muscle tester user's manual. JTECH Medical. 2015. Available from: <https://resources.chainbox.io/1/procure/public/pim/54f9095b-e7d8-492c-b4fd-a9671dc3ef25/commander-muscle-tester-manual.pdf>
31. Spink MJ, Fotoohabadi MR, Menz HB. Foot and ankle strength assessment using hand-held dynamometry: Reliability and age-related differences. *Gerontology.* 2010;56(6):525-32.
32. Treveltham R. Evaluation of two self-referent foot health instruments. *Foot.* 2010;20(3):101-8.
33. Yaliman A, Ilke Sen E, Eskiuyurt N, Budiman-Mak E. Turkish translation and adaptation of Foot Function Index in patients with plantar fasciitis. *Turk Fiz Rehabil Derg.* 2014;60(2):101-7.
34. Budiman-Mak E, Conrad KJ, Roach KE. The foot function index: A measure of foot pain and disability. *J Clin Epidemiol.* 1991;44(6):561-70.
35. Kuyvenhoven MM, Gorter KJ, Zuithoff P, Budiman-Mak E, Conrad KJ, Post MW. The Foot Function Index with verbal rating scales (FFI 15pt): A clinimetric evaluation and comparison with the original FFI. *J Rheumatol.* 2002;29(5):1023-8.
36. Landorf KB, Keenan AM. An evaluation of two foot-specific, health related quality-of-life measuring instruments. *Foot Ankle Int.* 2002;23(6):538-46.
37. Anaforoğlu Külünkoglu B, Yilmaz A, Yakut Y, Uygur F. Reliability and validity of the Turkish version of the Foot Function Index in patients with foot disorders. *Turk J Med Sci.* 2018;48(3):476-83.
38. Razali NM, Wah YB. Power comparisons of Shapiro-Wilk, Kolmogorov-Smirnov, Lilliefors and Anderson-Darling tests. *J Stat Model Anal.* 2011;2(1):21-33.
39. Menz HB, Lord SR. Foot pain, disability and falls in older people. *J Am Geriatr Soc.* 2005;53(2):183-9.
40. Mortka K, Lisiński P. Hallux valgus—A case for a physiotherapist or only for a surgeon? Literature review. *J Phys Ther Sci.* 2015;27(11):3303-7.
41. Nguyen U-SDT, Hillstrom HJ, Li W, Dufour AB, Kiel DP, Procter-Gray E, Gagnon MM, Hannan MT. Factors associated with hallux valgus in a population-based study of older women and men: The MOBILIZE Boston Study. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage.* 2010;18(1):41-6.
42. Nix SE, Vicenzino BT, Collins NJ, Smith MD. Characteristics of foot structure and footwear associated with hallux valgus: A systematic review. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage.* 2012;20(10):1059-74.
43. Dufour AB, Casey VA, Golightly YM, Hannan MT. Characteristics associated with hallux valgus in a population-based foot study of older adults. *Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken).* 2014;66(12):1880-6.
44. Nishimura A, Kato K, Fukuda A, Nakazora S, Yamada T, Uchida A, Sudo A. Prevalence of hallux valgus and risk factors among Japanese community dwellers. *J Orthop Sci.* 2013;18.
45. Nery C, Coughlin MJ, Baumfeld D, Ballerini FJ, Kobata S. Hallux valgus in males—Part 1: Demographics, etiology, and comparative radiology. *Foot Ankle Int.* 2013;34(5):629-35.
46. Doty JF, Coughlin MJ. Hallux valgus and hypermobility of the first ray: Facts and fiction. *Int Orthop.* 2013;37(9):1655-60.
47. Uchiyama E, Kitaoka HB, Luo Z-P, Grande JP, Kura H, An K-N. Pathomechanics of hallux valgus: Biomechanical and immunohistochemical study. *Foot Ankle Int.* 2005;26(9):732-8.
48. Okuda H, Juman S, Ueda A, Miki T, Shima M. Factors related to prevalence of hallux valgus in female university students: A cross-sectional study. *J Epidemiol.* 2014;24(3):200-8.

49. Gawande KB, Mungikar S, Hotwani R, Ingle S, Kulkarni CA. Prevalence of hallux valgus in normal individuals. *J Med Pharm Allied Sci*. 2021;10(4):3138-41.
50. Kadakia RJ, Yau C. Hallux Valgus. In: StatPearls. StatPearls Publishing; 2022.
51. Kush S, Streeter SR, Cororaton Jones AD, Steineman B, Ellis SJ, Conti MS. Preoperative degenerative changes at the tibial sesamoid metatarsal joint in hallux valgus: Association with postoperative patient reported outcomes after modified Lapidus procedure. *Foot Ankle Orthop*. 2024;9(2):24730114241256370.
52. Ray JJ, Friedmann AJ, Hanselman AE, Vaida JD, Dayton PD, Hatch DJ, Smith B, Santrock RD. Hallux valgus. *Foot Ankle Orthop*. 2019;4(2):2473011419838500.
53. Sanders AP, Weijers RE, Snijders CJ, Schon LC. Three dimensional reconstruction of magnetic resonance images of a displaced flexor hallucis longus tendon in hallux valgus. *Foot Ankle Int*. 2005;26(9):702-5.
54. Calvo Lobo C, Garrido Marín A, Rodríguez Sanz D, López López D, Palomo López P, Romero Morales C, Sanz Corbalán I. Ultrasound evaluation of intrinsic plantar muscles and fascia in hallux valgus: A case control study. *Medicine (Baltimore)*. 2016;95(45):e5243.
55. Piqué-Vidal C, Vila J. A geometric analysis of hallux valgus: Correlation with clinical assessment of severity. *Med Princ Pract*. 2009;2(15):1757-1146.
56. D'Arcangelo PR, Landorf KB, Munteanu SE, Zammit GV, Menz HB. Radiographic correlates of hallux valgus severity in older people. *J Foot Ankle Res*. 2010;3:20.
57. Iliou K, Paraskevas G, Kanavaros P, Gekas C, Barbouti A, Kitsoulis P. Relationship between pedographic analysis and the Manchester scale in hallux valgus. *Acta Orthop Traumatol Turc*. 2015;49(1):75-9.
58. Menz HB, Jordan KP, Roddy E, Croft PR. Characteristics of primary care consultations for musculoskeletal foot and ankle problems in the UK. *Rheumatology (Oxford)*. 2010;49(4):872-7.
59. Anaforoğlu Kulunkoğlu B. Halluks valgus deformitesinin yaşam kalitesi üzerine etkisi. *Ankara Sağlık Dergisi*. 2012 May.
60. Cho NH, Kim S, Kwon D-J, Kim HA. The prevalence of hallux valgus and its association with foot pain and function in a rural Korean community. *J Bone Joint Surg Br*. 2009;91(4):576-80.
61. Iliou K, Paraskevas G, Kanavaros P, Barbouti A, Vrettakos A, Gekas C, Kitsoulis P. Correlation between Manchester Grading Scale and American Orthopaedic Foot and Ankle Society Score in patients with hallux valgus. *Med Princ Pract*. 2016;25(1):21-4.
62. Lewis TL, Ray R, Gordon DJ. The impact of hallux valgus on function and quality of life in females. *Foot Ankle Surg*. 2021;Advance online publication.
63. Menz HB, Roddy E, Thomas E, Croft PR. Impact of hallux valgus severity on general and foot-specific health-related quality of life. *Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken)*. 2011;63(3):396-404.
64. Palomo-López P, Becerro-de-Bengoa-Vallejo R, Losa-Iglesias ME, Rodríguez Sanz D, Calvo-Lobo C, López-López D. Impact of hallux valgus on quality of life in women. *Int Wound J*. 2017.
65. Palomo-López P, Becerro-de-Bengoa-Vallejo R, López-López D, Losa-Iglesias ME, Rodríguez-Sanz D, Romero-Morales C, Calvo-Lobo C, Mazoterias-Pardo V. Kinesiophobia and pain intensity are increased by a greater hallux valgus deformity degree: Kinesiophobia and pain intensity in hallux valgus. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2020;17(2):626.
66. Segal NA, Glass NA, Torner J, Yang M, Felson DT, Sharma L, ... Nevitt M. Quadriceps weakness predicts risk for knee joint space narrowing in women in the MOST cohort. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage*. 2010;18(6):769-75.
67. Messier SP, Loeser RF, Miller GD, Morgan TM, Rejeski WJ, Sevick MA, Williamson JD. Exercise and dietary weight loss in overweight and obese older adults with knee osteoarthritis: The Arthritis, Diet, and Activity Promotion Trial. *Arthritis Rheum*. 2004;50(5):1501-10.